

Ser. No. 10/088,622
Internal Docket No. PF990063
Customer No. 24498

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 22 2007

PATENT

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1 and 6-11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Amico et al. in view of Bjorklund et al. and Turunen. The Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider this rejection.

In the advisory action the examiner states that the claims do not sufficiently recite the feature that the code is valid for the entire network. Applicants respectfully disagree and submit that the previous claims clearly and distinctly recite the subject matter that applicants regard as their invention. However, to move the prosecution of this case forward, applicants have amended claim 1 to recite: "said entered PIN code becoming the PIN code that is valid for the entire new network, whereby the PIN code is used by all devices of the new network." Claim 9 has been similarly amended.


Applicants submit that the pending claims clearly and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicants regard as their invention, and are patentably distinguishable over the cited prior art references for at least the reasons discussed in applicants' previous responses.

Ser. No. 10/088,622
Internal Docket No. PF990063
Customer No. 24498

PATENT

Having fully addressed the Examiner's rejections it is believed that, in view of the preceding amendments and remarks, this application stands in condition for allowance. Accordingly then, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully solicited. If, however, the Examiner is of the opinion that such action cannot be taken, the Examiner is invited to contact the applicant's attorney at (609) 734-6815, so that a mutually convenient date and time for a telephonic interview may be scheduled.

Respectfully submitted,
ALAIN DURAND, ET AL.

By: 
Paul P. Kiel
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 40,677

THOMSON Licensing Inc.
PO Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

Date: 2/22/07